UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,311 | 12/31/2003 | Chung-I Lee | | 1750 |
| 25859 WEI TE CHUN | 7590 09/12/200 IG | EXAMINER | | |
| FOXCONN IN | TERNATIONAL, INC | DARNO, PATRICK A | | |
| 1650 MEMORI SANTA CLAR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2169 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/749,311 | LEE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ait Ollic | |

| | PATRICK | A. DARNO | 2163 | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PPLICATIO | N IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) a eal (with app | n amendment, affidavi eal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the f | nal rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action ater than SIX | n, or (2) the date set forth MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | ECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the tension and the shortened state than three me | e corresponding amount outory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | liance with 3 | 7 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | nsion thereo | f (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to t | ne date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | nsideration a | ind/or search (see NOT | E below); | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | * - | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | ter form for | appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orroonondir | a number of finally reig | atad alaima | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | correspondi | ig number of finally reje | cled ciaims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See atta | ched Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | shed House of Hon Col | inpliant / anonament (| 1 102 02+). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk | | bmitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | , | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | t hafara ar a | n the data of filing a Na | tion of Annual will not | · ha antarad | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> | rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | , | | | | |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 | Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /Mohammad Ali/ | /Ps | trick A. Darno/ | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169 | Exa | miner, Art Unit 2169 10-2008 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In Applicant's after-final remarks received 08-18-2008, the Applicant appears to argue against the Examiner's interpretation of a "field." [Applicant's Remarks, 08-18-2008: pgs 1-2]. In arguing the Examiner's interpretation of a "field", the Applicant simply offers an alternative definition of the term "field." However, this alternative definition of the term "field" fails to further narrow the scope of the claim. Since no explicit and deliberate definition was pointed out in the Applicant's specification for the word "field", and since the claim language itself does not appear to limit the word "field" to Applicant's definition presented in Applicant's after-final remarks regarding claims 1, the claims remain rejected under the reasons set forth in the preceding office action.

The Applicant is reminded that the Examiner is permitted to give the claims their broadest reasonable interpretation. As explained in the Examiner's Final Office Action mailed 07-25-2008, the Examiner is broadly interpreting a field to be "a set of one or more adjacent characters comprising a unit of information." This interpretation of the word "field" is broad, but it is certainly reasonable.

Therefore, the claims remain rejected under the reasons set forth in the preceding office action.